

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

an

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,755	0	9/17/2003	Sterling Smith	MSS0007-US	3830
7	590	11/12/2004		EXAMINER	
Michael D. B			NGUYEN, HIEP		
Shaw Pittman I 1650 Tysons B		1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
McLean, VA 22102				2816	
			DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/663,755	SMITH, STERLING			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hiep Nguyen	2816			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the poly	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. maions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replet of the provision of the p	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 S	eptember 2004.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers	•				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	• •					
1) X Notic 2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary ((PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/663,755

Art Unit: 2816

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on 09-07-04 has been received and entered in the case. New ground of rejections necessitated by the amendment is set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The recitations "an input node for receiving an analog image signal with a display mode" and "wherein said filter provides a variable bandwidth in response to said display mode" in claims 1 and 7 are not described in the specification. Claims 2-6 and 8-12 are indefinite because of the technical deficiencies of claims 1 and 7.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Correction and or clarification is required.

Regarding claims 1 and 7, the recitation "wherein said filter provides a variable bandwidth in response to said display mode" is indefinite because it is not clear why the filter

Application/Control Number: 10/663,755

Art Unit: 2816

<u>only</u> provides a variable bandwidth during <u>display mode</u>. Figure 3 of the present application shows that the filter (24) filters <u>any signal</u> that passes through it in <u>any mode</u>.

Claims 2-6 and 8-12 are indefinite because of the technical deficiencies of claims 1 and 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.102 (b) as being anticipated by Peterson et al. (US pat. 5,926,217).

Regarding claims 1, 2, figures 3 and 4 of Peterson shows an interface circuitry of a display chip, said interface circuitry comprising:

an input node (Vdet) for receiving an analog image signal containing video information;

a filter (117) for processing said analog image signal and providing a processed image signal at an internal node; and

a clamping circuit (131) connected between said internal node and a reference level;

wherein said filter provides a variable bandwidth "in response to said display mode" wherein said clamping circuit is used to clamp said processed image signal by said reference level during a clamping interval. Note that filter (117) can be a variable adjustable) low pass filter (col. 1, lines 58-61). The signal from the output of the circuit is sent to the video system. It is inherent that the circuit of Peterson works in display mode.

Regarding claims 3 and 4, figure 4 of Peterson shows that the clamping circuit comprises a transistor. The gate of the clamping transistor is connected to a clamping signal (135 or VCLMP).

Application/Control Number: 10/663,755

Art Unit: 2816

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peterson et al. (US pat. 5,926,217) in view of Kwon et al. (US Pat. 6,724,245).

Regarding claims 5 and 6, figures 3 and 4 of Peterson include all the limitations of claims 5 and 6 except for the limitation that the clamping circuit comprises a variable resistor and a transistor. Figure 1 of Kwon shows a clamping circuit comprising a variable resistor (N1) and a transistor (N2) for adjusting the voltage at the internal node (col. 5, lines 12-33). Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the clamping circuit (131) of Peterson with the circuit taught by Kwon for adjusting the voltage at the internal node. The transistor is transistor (N2) and the clamping signal is (clamp_en). It is inherent that the circuit of Peterson works in display mode.

Claims 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Obie et al. (US Pat. 5,038,096) in view of Olmstead et al. (US Pat. 5,814,803), Kwon et al. (US Pat. 6,724,245) and Kanagawa et al. (US Pat. 6,366,866).

Regarding claims 7 and 8, figure 1 of Obie shows an interface circuitry of a display chip comprising;

an analog image signal the input of the video filter (112);

a video filter (112) for processing said analog image signal and providing a processed image signal at internal node;

an ADC unit (118) for converting said processed image signal into a digital image signal. Figure 1 of Obie does not show a clamping circuit connecting between said internal node and a reference level and the filter is adjustable. Figure 10A of Olmstead show an interface circuitry of a display chip comprising a clamping circuit (S1) connecting

Art Unit: 2816

between said internal node and a non zero reference level for establishing a precise reference for each pixel before video bump occurs (col. 12, lines 47-57). Figure 3 of Kanagawa shows an adjustable low-pass filter (211) for eliminating high frequency noise. Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the clamping circuit taught by Olmstead into the circuit of Obie for establishing a precise reference for each pixel before video bump occurs and to replace the fixed video filter (112) with the adjustable filter (211) taught by Kanagawa for eliminating high frequency noise. The variable resistor is element (2111).

Regarding claims 9 and 10, the combination of Obie, Olmstaed and Kanagawa includes all the limitations of claims 9 and 10 except for the limitation that the filter comprises a variable resistor and a capacitor. Figure 1 of Kwon shows a clamping circuit comprising a <u>variable resistor</u> (N1) and a transistor (N2) controlled by signal (clamp_en) for adjusting the voltage at the internal node (col. 5, lines 12-33). Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the clamping circuit (S1) of Olmstead with the clamping circuit taught by Kwon for adjusting the voltage at the internal node. The transistor is transistor (N2) and the clamping signal is (clamp_en).

Regarding claims 11 and 12, the variable resistor is element (N1) of Kwon and the transistor is (N2) receiving a control signal (clamp_en).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2816

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hiep Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1752. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on (571) 272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hiep Nguyen

11-08-04

V TUANT.LAM

PRIMARY EXAMINER